UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

Courtroom Minute Sheet – Criminal Case

Date 7/29/13 Judge Nannett A. Baker Case No. 4: 13 MJ 5/40 NA	4 B
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. Melvin Scherre	
Court Reporter FTR Deputy Clerk K. Spurgeon	
Assistant United States Attorney(s) <u>Jeannette Graviss</u>	
\mathcal{M}_{α}	
Attorney(s) for Defendant(s):	
nterpreter SEALED PROCEEDING	
Proceedings:	
Initial Appearance Supervised Release Rev. Detention Hearing □ Preliminary Revocation Arraignment □ Bond Review □ Probation □ Preliminary Examination □ Bond Execution/Appearance Bond □ Supervised Release □ Motion Hearing □ In Court Hrg (WAIVER OF MOTIONS) □ Competency □ Evidentiary Hearing □ Change of Plea/Sentencing □ Pretrial/Status Conferency □ Oral Argument/Non Evidentiary Hearing □ Rule 5(c)(3)Removal (Identity) □ Material Witness	nce
Additional Information: Arguments heard re: matter of detention; the Contact the matter under advisement	44
Tukes the matter under advisement	
Oral Motion for appointment of counsel (GRANTED) Defendant is advised of rights/indicates understanding of those rights. Bail/Bond set in the amount of: \$ Secured Appearance Bond Secured by 10% Secured by cash only Secured by property Unsecured bond O/R bond	
Government filed motion for pretrial detention. Detention hearing scheduled for	_
Preliminary examination set for	
Defendant arraigned Waives reading of indictment/information Matter taken under advisement	
Plea entered not guilty Order on pretrial motions: issued to issue	
Oral Motion for Suppression Written Motion for Extension of Time to File Pretrial Motions	
Defendant waives order to issue oral ruling	
Defendant waives evidentiary hearing By leave of court withdraws all pretrial motions No R&R will be forthcoming	
rial date/time Before	
Remanded to custody Released on bond	
lext hearing date/time Before Before	_
roceeding commenced 9:19 am Proceeding concluded 9:23 am Continued to	
Based upon the evidence produced, the Court finds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the law set out in the riminal complaint. Based upon the evidence produced, the Court finds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the law set out in the instant petition.	
Based upon the waiver, the Court finds probable cause to believe the defendant violated the law set out in the instant petition.	